UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,460	12/28/2001	Pieter Tjerk Koopman	3135-011614	9480
28289 THE WEBB LA	7590 03/17/201 <sup>1</sup> AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	AN, SHAWN S		
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/937,460	KOOPMAN, PIETER TJERK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		SHAWN AN	2621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2009				
· ·		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<u>ا</u> رت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
			3 3.3.2.3.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>22,24,26,28,32-34,36,40 and 42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 22, 24, 26, 28, 32-34, 36, 40, and 42 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•	mujarity under 35 H.C.C. \$ 440/a)	(d) a. (f)			
· .	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) <sub>l</sub>	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. b b d				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  The Notice of Information Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/937,460 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' Remarks as filed 12/11/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant presents an argument of which cited prior art references do not teach: A) displacing the at least one mirror for selecting a part of the image while holding the object in the stationary position.

However, after careful scrutiny of cited prior art references, the Examiner must respectively disagree, and maintain the grounds of rejection for the reasons that follow.

In response to the argument A), Fujiyama et al clearly discloses <u>displacing the</u> <u>at least one mirror</u> (Fig. 4, 22, rotation of the mirror) <u>for selecting a part of the</u> <u>image</u> (X)(Fig. 4, selecting X, where X can be selected from 0 to X1) <u>while</u> <u>holding the object in the stationary position</u> (Figs. 4-5; col. 12, lines 55-68; col. 13, lines 1-15).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **2.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22, 24, 26, 28, 32-34, 36, 40, and 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujiyama et al (5,190,632) in view of Liu et al (5,998,796) as previously discussed in the last Office action as filed on 8/11/09.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

## Conclusion

**4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- **5.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn An* whose telephone number is *571-272-7324*.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the 8 atent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,460 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

**7.** The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/SHAWN AN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 3/13/10